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A large multicenter analysis of CTGF -945 promoter polymorphism does not confirm association with Systemic Sclerosis susceptibility or phenotype.
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ABSTRACT

Objective: In this work we conducted a replication study to investigate whether the -945 CTGF genetic variant is associated with SSc susceptibility or specific SSc phenotype.

Methods: The study population comprised of 1180 SSc patients and 1784 healthy controls from seven independent case-control sets of European ancestry (Spanish, French, Dutch, German, British, Swedish and North American). The –945 CTGF genetic variant was genotyped using a Taqman 5’ allelic discrimination assay.

Results: First we conducted an independent association study that revealed in all case-control cohorts under study no association of the CTGF -945 polymorphism with SSc susceptibility. These findings were confirmed by a meta-analysis that reached a pooled OR of 1.12 (95 % CI 0.99-1.25, P=0.06). In addition, the possible contribution of the -945 CTGF genetic variant to SSc phenotype was investigated. However, stratification according to SSc subtypes (limited or diffuse), selective autoantibodies (antitopoisomerase I or anti-centromere) or pulmonary involvement reached no statistically significant skewing.

Conclusion: Our results do not confirm previous findings and suggest that the CTGF –945 promoter polymorphism does not play a major role in SSc susceptibility or clinical phenotype.
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INTRODUCTION

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is an autoimmune disorder characterized by excessive fibrosis, vascular abnormalities and immune system dysfunction that can affect several organs or tissues (mainly skin, lungs and kidneys).[1]

The genetic component of SSc is supported by familial aggregation and ethnic influences, however individual genetic markers or genes have generally not shown reproducible association with SSc susceptibility so far. Most of the candidate gene association studies conducted in SSc are limited by insufficient statistical power due to the small sample sizes analysed and by the lack of replication in independent populations.[1]

Recently, the connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) gene has been suggested as a novel genetic marker for SSc susceptibility. A putative functional single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) located in the promoter region of CTGF gene (rs6918698; -945 C/G) was significantly associated with SSc susceptibility and with certain clinical hallmarks of this condition in a British population.[2] However, these findings were not replicated in a North American case-control set in which the CTGF -945 genetic variant showed no association with SSc susceptibility or phenotype.[3]

In view of these controversial results and in order to better understand the role of the CTGF gene in SSc pathogenesis, we designed a large replication study including seven independent case-control sets of Caucasian ancestry to investigate whether the -945 CTGF genetic variant is implicated in SSc susceptibility or clinical manifestations.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients

The study population consisted of a total of 1180 SSc patients and 1784 healthy controls from seven independent case-control sets of European ancestry (Spanish: 317 SSc and 369 controls; French: 98 SSc and 146 controls; Dutch: 140 SSc and 267 controls; German: 251 SSc and 276 controls; British: 145 SSc and 351 controls and Swedish: 119 SSc and 277 controls; North American: 120 SSc and 98 healthy controls). All the patients fulfilled the 1980 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for SSc.[4]

The control population consisted in unrelated healthy individuals matched by age, sex and ethnicity with the SSc patients groups.

The study was approved by local ethical committees from all the participating centers. Both patients and controls were included in the study after written informed consent.

SSc patients were classified as having limited or diffuse SSc.[5, 6] The seven patients groups were comparable in terms of age, gender and disease duration. Data regarding selective autoantibodies status was not available in all SSc patients: 983 patients were assessed for the presence of anti-topoisomerase I (anti-Scl-70) and 902 for anti-centromere antibodies (ACA). Involvement of the lungs was assessed in 750 SSc patients according to the international guidelines.[7] The presence of pulmonary fibrosis was investigated by a computed tomography scan. Restrictive syndrome and diffusion capacity of the lungs was defined as a forced vital capacity (FVC) < 75% of the predicted value and a diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) of less than 75% of predicted.

CTGF -945 genotyping.
DNA samples from patients and controls were genotyped for the CTGF -945 polymorphism using a Custom-Taqman-SNP-Genotyping-Assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) (primers and probes sequences are available under request). The PCR reaction was performed as follows: 92°C-10 min, 40 cycles of 92°C-15 sec and 60 °C-1:00 min. Post-PCR, the genotype of each sample was automatically attributed in the ABI Prism 7900 Sequence Detection System using the SDS 2.3 software for allele discrimination (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis

We tested Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) by using the program FINETI (http://ihg.gsf.de/cgi-bin/hw/hwa2.pl). Significance was calculated by 2x2 contingency tables and Fisher’s exact test, to obtain p values, odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) by using Statcalc software (Epi Info 2002; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA). P values below 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. The analysis of the combined data from all populations was performed using the Stats Direct software. Homogeneity of OR among cohorts was calculated using Breslow-Day and Woolf Q methods and the calculation of the pooled OR was performed under a fixed-effects model (Mantel-Haenszel meta-analysis) or random effects (DerSimonian-Laird) when necessary. The estimation of the power of the study was performed using the Quanto v 0.5 software (Department of Preventive Medicine University of Southern California, California, USA.)

RESULTS

The CTGF -945 genetic variant was analysed in seven populations from Spain, France, The Netherlands, Germany, United Kingdom, Sweden and North America. In all of them, both SSc patients and controls group were found to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for CTGF -945 genotypes.

The individual analysis revealed the same trend in all populations showing no association of the CTGF -945 polymorphism with SSc susceptibility considering either allelic or genotypic frequencies (Table 1).

To further investigate the possible role of the CTGF -945 polymorphism in SSc susceptibility, we conducted a combined analysis including the seven case-control series. The estimation of the homogeneity between populations revealed that all of them could be combined. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis under fixed-effects using the Mantel-Haenszel test that reached a p value of 0.06 and pooled OR of 1.12 for the C allele (95 % CI 0.99-1.25). Thus, we confirmed the lack of association of the CTGF -945 polymorphism with SSc susceptibility observed in the independent analysis.

The possible contribution of -945 CTGF genetic variant to SSc phenotype was also investigated. After stratification of SSc patients according to skin involvement, presence of autoantibodies or lung involvement, no statistically significant skewing was observed (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Association studies of functional candidate genes represent one of the most powerful and direct approaches to investigate the genetic component of human complex diseases.[8] However, to validate genetic associations the replication of results in independent populations is mandatory. Only genetic associations consistently reproducible among populations strengthen the confidence in association studies.[9]
In this line, a recent report showed association of the CTGF -945 promoter polymorphism with SSc susceptibility in a British population, whereas the same SNP tested in a North American population did not reach any significant association.\cite{2} Due to the controversial results obtained in these studies the role of CTGF as a genetic marker for SSc was unclear.

In order to support additional information that could help to clarify the possible contribution of CTGF gene in SSc susceptibility, we analysed the -945 CTGF promoter polymorphism in a large cohort of SSc patients originating from seven independent replication cohorts. After independent association studies and a meta-analysis, we did not observe a significant association of CTGF -945 genetic variant with SSc susceptibility or clinical manifestations.

The lack of association observed in our study is unlikely due to low statistical power since the total sample size analyzed (1180 SSc patients and 1784 controls) represents a power of 99% to detect an association with a genetic marker assuming OR from 1.5 to 2.2 (similar to that observed in the Fonseca et al study), at the 5 % significance level. Furthermore, the replication of the results in seven independent cohorts, supports the notion that our results are unlikely due to the type II error. The discrepancies between our findings and those by Fonseca et al. could be due to differences in the distribution of CTGF -945 genotypes. The frequency for the GG genotype observed in our case-control sets range between 24.4% and 33.7%, which is also similar to the frequency of the GG genotype in the USA cohort.\cite{3} However, this genotype showed a lower frequency in SSc patients from the initial British study (19.8%).\cite{2} Although these differences could be due to different genetic background, this seems not to be the case since we included in our study a British population that showed a frequency of 27.6 % for the GG genotype. Thus, our findings confirm those obtained by Gourh P et al that failed to replicate the association of -945 CTGF polymorphism with SSc susceptibility or its autoantibody or clinical subgroups.\cite{3}

The excessive scarring process that leads to the most important clinical complications in SSc is still poorly understood. A complex interaction among pro-fibrotic proteins including CTGF, and driven by transforming growth factor betha (TGFβ), is thought to mediate the fibrogenic response. The expression of CTGF is induced by TGFβ and endothelin 1 (ET-1).\cite{10-12} Therefore it could be speculated that the increased expression of CTGF observed in SSc patients \cite{13} might be due to the induction of potent pro-fibrogenic mediators upstream of CTGF, rather than to genetic variants that could alter the activity of the CTGF promoter.

Further studies are necessary to understand the exact role of CTGF in the complex cascade that lead to the exacerbated scaring present in SSc and to better characterize which genetic elements regulate CTGF expression.

In summary, through a large replication study we could not confirm a major role of the -945 CTGF promoter polymorphism in SSc susceptibility or phenotype expression. Our results, together with previous findings strongly suggest that the CTGF -945 polymorphisms does not seem to be a determinant genetic marker for SSc.
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Table 1. Genotype and allele frequencies of CTGF -945 promoter polymorphism in the six case-control sets analysed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>GG</th>
<th>Allele C</th>
<th>Allele G</th>
<th>P value allele</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spanish Cases (317)</td>
<td>82 (25.9)</td>
<td>146 (46.1)</td>
<td>89 (28.1)</td>
<td>310 (48.9)</td>
<td>324 (51.1)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.03 (0.8-1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish Controls (369)</td>
<td>96 (26.0)</td>
<td>175 (47.4)</td>
<td>98 (26.6)</td>
<td>367 (49.7)</td>
<td>371 (50.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Cases (98)</td>
<td>26 (26.5)</td>
<td>46 (46.9)</td>
<td>26 (26.5)</td>
<td>98 (50.0)</td>
<td>98 (50.0)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.07 (0.7-1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Controls (146)</td>
<td>36 (24.7)</td>
<td>69 (47.3)</td>
<td>41 (28.1)</td>
<td>141 (48.3)</td>
<td>151 (51.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch Cases (140)</td>
<td>32 (22.9)</td>
<td>71 (50.7)</td>
<td>37 (26.4)</td>
<td>135 (48.2)</td>
<td>145 (51.8)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.07 (0.8-1.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch Controls (267)</td>
<td>56 (21.0)</td>
<td>136 (50.9)</td>
<td>75 (28.1)</td>
<td>248 (46.6)</td>
<td>286 (53.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish Cases (119)</td>
<td>36 (30.3)</td>
<td>54 (45.4)</td>
<td>29 (24.4)</td>
<td>126 (52.9)</td>
<td>112 (47.1)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.17 (0.9-1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish Controls (277)</td>
<td>74 (26.7)</td>
<td>123 (44.4)</td>
<td>80 (28.9)</td>
<td>271 (48.9)</td>
<td>283 (51.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Cases (241)</td>
<td>57 (23.7)</td>
<td>112 (46.5)</td>
<td>72 (29.9)</td>
<td>226 (46.9)</td>
<td>256 (53.1)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.20 (0.9-1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Controls (276)</td>
<td>51 (18.5)</td>
<td>132 (47.8)</td>
<td>93 (33.7)</td>
<td>234 (42.4)</td>
<td>318 (57.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Cases (145)</td>
<td>39 (26.9)</td>
<td>66 (45.5)</td>
<td>40 (27.6)</td>
<td>144 (49.7)</td>
<td>146 (50.3)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.25 (0.9-1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Controls (351)</td>
<td>62 (17.7)</td>
<td>186 (53.0)</td>
<td>103 (29.3)</td>
<td>310 (44.2)</td>
<td>392 (55.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American Cases (120)</td>
<td>31 (25.8)</td>
<td>55 (45.8)</td>
<td>34 (28.3)</td>
<td>117 (48.8)</td>
<td>123 (51.3)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.19 (0.8-1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American Controls (98)</td>
<td>21 (21.4)</td>
<td>45 (45.9)</td>
<td>32 (32.7)</td>
<td>87 (44.4)</td>
<td>109 (55.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>