# HCV and pregnancy: is now the time for universal testing?









Steven A Pergam<sup>†</sup>, Stephen E Hawes, Carolyn M Gardella & Chia C Wang

<sup>†</sup>Author for correspondence Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, 1100 Fairview Ave. North, D3-100, PO Box 19024, Seattle, WA 98109, USA spergam@fhcrc.org



'An estimated 40,000 women chronically infected with HCV become pregnant every year in the USA.'

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) affects up to 3% of the world's population [1]. Chronic infection occurs in most HCV-infected patients, causing endstage liver disease in approximately 20% [2]. Intravenous drug use (IVDU) is the most common risk factor, accounting for 60–80% of cases in the USA. In those without history of IVDU, sexual, household and iatrogenic risk factors are likely modes of transmission [3,4].

Approximately 1.6% of the US population is estimated to be HCV-antibody-positive, but prevalence depends on age and other characteristics of the population [5]. For example, the prevalence in active IV drug users is 80-100% [6]. Seroprevalence rates in pregnant women generally range from 0.6 to 2% [7], but may be as high as 4.4% in inner-city populations [8]. An estimated 40,000 women chronically infected with HCV become pregnant every year in the USA.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (USA) and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommend testing at-risk pregnant women for HCV as part of prenatal care. Testing is encouraged for pregnant women with any history of IVDU, those working in high-risk settings, or those with HIV or hepatitis B infection. Women who received a blood transfusion or solid organ transplant before July 1992, received clotting factor concentrates before 1987, underwent long-term dialysis, and those with signs or symptoms of liver disease should also be tested [9,10].

While most experts agree with these recommendations, others advocate for universal HCV testing in pregnancy. In this editorial we address arguments for and against universal testing in the context of recently published data. In the process we review potential complications associated with HCV in pregnancy, identify areas for future research and emphasize the importance of adequate screening in practice. Arguments for universal testing

Arguments for universal testing of pregnant women focus on;

- The inaccuracy of self-reported drug use in pregnant women
- The prevalence of non-IVDU modes of transmission in pregnant woman
- Inconsistencies in provider screening for HCV-related risk factors and HCV infection
- The benefits of early detection.

Current recommendations depend on the ability to identify at-risk women. Practitioners face challenges identifying these women, particularly in regards to recreational drug use. In high-risk nonpregnant populations for example, under-reporting of drug use can bias prevalence estimates [11]. Eliciting such information in pregnant women may be further hampered by perceived consequences such as legal ramifications and potential loss of custody, leading to inaccurate responses to screening questions. Unsurprisingly, studies demonstrate that self-reporting is an unreliable method to determine drug use in pregnancy [12–14].

Rates of IVDU in HCV-positive pregnant women range between 32 and 50% [15-18], less than the 60-80% reported in HCV-positive patients in the general population [19]. These figures suggest pregnant women may be more likely to acquire HCV infection through non-IVDU exposures. An increased risk of HCV in pregnant women living with partners with HCV or an IVDU history suggests a larger role for sexual or household transmission [17,20]. Even more troubling, up to 40% of pregnant women may have no identifiable risk factor [15].

Obstetricians may also miss opportunities to test at-risk women for HCV. In one study, less than 50% of obstetricians recommended testing patients with histories of IVDU, and only 30% for patients who had recieved blood transfusions prior to 1992 [21]. Furthermore, while 60% of Australian gynecologists routinely tested for HCV, only 20% routinely asked about risk factors for bloodborne infections [22]. Local rates of HCV and HIV, misconceptions about HCV and limited HCV treatment options may influence a provider's likelihood for following testing guidelines. Universal testing has the advantage of identifying women not captured by current guidelines. Pregnancy is an opportunity to identify women with HCV, many of whom may not have otherwise sought, nor had access, to primary care. Since few are aware of their serologic status [23], early diagnosis could be the most important reason in support of universal testing for HCV. Those identified as HCV-positive could benefit from opportunities for evaluation and treatment, alcohol abstinence and access to primary care and monitoring.

### Arguments against universal testing

Since 2–4% of HCV-infected mothers transmit infection to their infants perinatally [7], universal testing would be more strongly advocated if knowledge of maternal status could decrease this risk. Therapy for HCV in pregnancy is currently not recommended due to the potential teratogenicity of current treatment agents [24]. Furthermore, unlike the case for HIV, cesarean section has not been shown to decrease rates of vertical transmission. A few studies suggest decreased rates of perinatal HCV transmission with cesarean section [25], but a large metanalysis found no protective benefit [26].

Others have indicated an increase in HCV transmission through invasive monitoring and prolonged rupture of membranes [27,28], but these findings are debated [25]. Additionally, breastfeed-ing has not been shown to increase the risk of transmission [29]. If prevention of vertical transmission is a primary goal of early testing, the lack of effective interventions argues against more rigorous efforts to detect maternal HCV infection.

Pregnancy does not appear to impact HCV disease progression, as evidenced by the lack of changes in liver function or level of viremia during pregnancy [30,31]. Perinatally-acquired HCV is also relatively asymptomatic during childhood. In the first year of life greater then 50% of children have elevated liver function tests [32,33], but early clinical symptoms are rare. HCV viremia clears in up to 25% of infected children [33,34]. Hepatic fibrosis and/or minimal to mild hepatitis is present in nearly 75% of infected children on liver biopsy [33,35,36], but progression to cirrhosis and liver transplantation due to HCV during childhood is rare [37]. Information on the progression of disease into adulthood in perinatally-infected children is currently lacking.

While data do not support adverse consequences of pregnancy on the course of HCV infection in mothers, and support a benign natural history for children infected at birth, few studies have examined peripartum effects of HCV infection on maternal and neonatal health. The limited data that are available come to varying conclusions. While maternal HCV was not associated with obstetric complications in a number of studies [23,38,39], one reported that women with HCV viremia had an increased risk of premature rupture of membranes [40]. Two studies demonstrated an increased risk of gestational diabetes in pregnant women with HCV [41,42]. While the known association of HCV infection with insulin resistance in nonpregnant persons [43] suggests a potential biologic plausibility to these observations, further study is needed to confirm these findings.

'Reviewing guidelines for testing pregnant women is particularly timely as newer, more effective antiviral therapies for HCV are currently being developed. Such therapies may render it even more essential to identify HCV in pregnancy.'

Data regarding the effect of HCV on neonatal outcomes is also contradictory. Two studies found no difference in rates of prematurity in children born to HCV-positive women [20,39], while another demonstrated higher rates of prematurity and spontaneous abortion in women with acute hepatitis [44]. A study in HIV-positive women demonstrated higher rates of low birth weight children (<2500 g) born to those coinfected with HCV [42], and another demonstrated higher rates of neonatal intensive care admission and need for assisted unit ventilation [41]. Apgar scores on the other hand, appear to be similar regardless of maternal HCV status [20,23,38,41]. Owing to their narrow scope and retrospective nature, conclusions that can be drawn from these studies are limited.

Perhaps most importantly, costs of identifying a single pregnant woman using universal testing were estimated to be more than twice that of current standard of care [45]. Universal testing of asymptomatic women is not cost–effective, even when modeled under the assumption that primary cesarean section reduces the risk of perinatal transmission, and when costs associated with chronic infection in the mother are taken into account [46]. Unless the potential risks associated with HCV on peripartum outcomes are substantiated, universal testing does not appear to be cost–effective.

# Conclusion & future directions

Current studies provide insufficient evidence to advocate for universal testing for HCV, but data do suggest that current screening approaches could be improved. Expanding criteria to include testing women with less common risk factors would enhance identification of those missed by current guidelines. Providing optional testing for pregnant women may be more acceptable for patients concerned about self exposure, and could lead to improved detection of highrisk patients. Finally, increased provider education regarding the risk factors for HCV in pregnancy could also maximize identification of at-risk women.

Reviewing guidelines for testing pregnant women is particularly timely as newer, more effective antiviral therapy for HCV are currently being developed. Such therapies may render it even more essential to identify HCV in pregnancy. Not only providing the opportunity to counsel about the risk of vertical transmission, early testing would take advantage of this limited period of engagement to identify and potentially eradicate HCV in these women.

Further evaluation of the impact of HCV on short- and long-term effects on pregnancy outcomes, longitudinal studies in perinatally acquired infection and treatment options for pregnant women and children are needed. Until then, efforts to increase awareness of the relevance and pitfalls of current recommendations for risk factor driven HCV testing should remain standard care for all providers caring for pregnant women.

Financial & competing interests disclosure The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

#### Bibliography

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (•) or of considerable interest (••) to readers.

- Wasley A, Alter MJ: Epidemiology of hepatitis C: geographic differences and temporal trends. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 20(1), 1–16 (2000).
- •• Excellent review of the worldwide epidemiology of hepatitis C virus (HCV).
- Seeff LB: Natural history of hepatitis C. *Am. J. Med.* 107(6B), 10S–15S (1999).
- •• Demonstrates the major negative long-term effects of HCV.
- Lauer GM, Walker BD: Hepatitis C virus infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 345(1), 41–52 (2001).
- •• Major review of HCV disease and pathophysiology.
- Wang CC, Krantz E, Klarquist J *et al.*: Acute hepatitis C in a contemporary US cohort: modes of acquisition and factors influencing viral clearance. *J. Infect. Dis* 196(10), 1474–1482 (2007).
- Shows the changes in contemporary modes of HCV acquisition.
- Armstrong GL, Wasley A, Simard EP, McQuillan GM, Kuhnert WL, Alter MJ: The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1999 through 2002. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 144(10), 705–714 (2006).
- •• The epidemiology of HCV in the USA.

- Murrill CS, Weeks H, Castrucci BC *et al.*: Age-specific seroprevalence of HIV, hepatitis B virus, and hepatitis C virus infection among injection drug users admitted to drug treatment in 6 US cities. *Am. J. Public Health* 92(3), 385–387 (2002).
- Reviews the seroprevalance of blood-borne viruses in drug users.
- Roberts EA, Yeung L: Maternal-infant transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *Hepatology* 36(5 Suppl 1), S106–S113 (2002).
- •• An excellent review of perinatal HCV transmission.
- Silverman NS, Jenkin BK, Wu C, McGillen P, Knee G: Hepatitis C virus in pregnancy: seroprevalence and risk factors for infection. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 169(3), 583–587 (1993).
- Demonstrates an increased risk of transmission in an inner-city population.
- ACOG Committee Opinion No. 357: Primary and preventive care: periodic assessments. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 108(6), 1615–1622 (2006).
- •• Current screening guidelines.
- Recommendations for prevention and control of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and HCV-related chronic disease. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *MMWR Recomm. Rep.* 47(RR-19), 1–39 (1998).
- •• Current screening guidelines.

- Magura S, Kang SY: Validity of self-reported drug use in high risk populations: a metaanalytical review. *Subst. Use Misuse* 31(9), 1131–1153 (1996).
- Pegues DA, Engelgau MM, Woernle CH: Prevalence of illicit drugs detected in the urine of women of childbearing age in Alabama public health clinics. *Public Health Rep.* 109(4), 530–538 (1994).
- Demonstrates the inaccuracy of self-reporting of drug use in pregnant women.
- Koren G, Chan D, Klein J, Karaskov T: Estimation of fetal exposure to drugs of abuse, environmental tobacco smoke, and ethanol. *Ther. Drug Monit.* 24(1), 23–25 (2002).
- Sanaullah F, Gillian M, Lavin T: Screening of substance misuse during early pregnancy in Blyth: an anonymous unlinked study. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 26(3), 187–190 (2006).
- Conte D, Fraquelli M, Prati D, Colucci A, Minola E: Prevalence and clinical course of chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and rate of HCV vertical transmission in a cohort of 15,250 pregnant women. *Hepatology* 31(3), 751–755 (2000).
- •• Shows the prevalence of HCV and the high rate of unknown risk factors in pregnant women.

- Baldo V, Floreani A, Menegon T, Grella P, Paternoster DM, Trivello R: Hepatitis C virus, hepatitis B virus and human immunodeficiency virus infection in pregnant women in North-East Italy: a seroepidemiological study. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 16(1), 87–91 (2000).
- Ward C, Tudor-Williams G, Cotzias T, Hargreaves S, Regan L, Foster GR: Prevalence of hepatitis C among pregnant women attending an inner London obstetric department: uptake and acceptability of named antenatal testing. *Gut* 47(2), 277–280 (2000).

## Reviews prevalence of HCV in pregnancy.

- Marranconi F, Fabris P, Stecca C *et al.*: Prevalence of anti-HCV and risk factors for hepatitis C virus infection in healthy pregnant women. *Infection* 22(5), 333–337 (1994).
- Alter MJ, Kruszon-Moran D, Nainan OV et al.: The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1988 through 1994. N. Engl. J. Med. 341(8), 556–562 (1999).
- Bohman VR, Stettler RW, Little BB, Wendel GD, Sutor LJ, Cunningham FG: Seroprevalence and risk factors for hepatitis C virus antibody in pregnant women. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 80(4), 609–613 (1992).
- Reviews risk factors for HCV positivity in pregnant women.
- Boaz K, Fiore AE, Schrag SJ, Gonik B, Schulkin J: Screening and counseling practices reported by obstetriciangynecologists for patients with hepatitis C virus infection. *Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol.* 11(1), 39–44 (2003).
- Demonstrates that obstetricians miss opportunities to screen for HCV.
- Giles ML, Sasadeusz JJ, Garland SM, Grover SR, Hellard ME: An audit of obstetricians' management of women potentially infected with blood-borne viruses. *Med. J. Aust.* 180(7), 328–332 (2004).
- Demonstrates that obstetricians miss opportunities to screen for blood-borne viruses.
- Floreani A, Paternoster D, Zappala F *et al.*: Hepatitis C virus infection in pregnancy. *Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 103(4), 325–329 (1996).
- Jain S, Goharkhay N, Saade G, Hankins GD, Anderson GD: Hepatitis C in pregnancy. *Am. J. Perinatol.* 24(4), 251–256 (2007).]
- •• Reviews current treatment options for HCV in pregnancy.

- Gibb DM, Goodall RL, Dunn DT *et al.*: Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus: evidence for preventable peripartum transmission. *Lancet* 356(9233), 904–907 (2000).
- McIntyre PG, Tosh K, McGuire W: Caesarean section versus vaginal delivery for preventing mother to infant hepatitis C virus transmission. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.*, (4), CD005546 (2006).
- •• A large metanalysis demonstrating the lack of evidence to recommend cesarean-section in HCV-positive women.
- Mast EE, Hwang LY, Seto DS *et al.*: Risk factors for perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the natural history of HCV infection acquired in infancy. *J. Infect. Dis.* 192(11), 1880–1889 (2005).
- Shows an increased rate of transmission with invasive monitoring.
- A significant sex but not elective cesarean section – effect on mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Infect. Dis.* 192(11), 1872–1879 (2005).
- Effects of mode of delivery and infant feeding on the risk of mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus. European Paediatric Hepatitis C Virus Network. *Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 108(4), 371–377 (2001).
- •• Shows no increased perinatally-transmitted HCV associated with mode of delivery.
- Paternoster DM, Santarossa C, Grella P et al.: Viral load in HCV RNA-positive pregnant women. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 96(9), 2751–2754 (2001).
- Demonstrates no adverse effects of pregnancy on HCV.
- Hattori Y, Orito E, Ohno T *et al.*: Loss of hepatitis C virus RNA after parturition in female patients with chronic HCV infection. *J. Med. Virol.* 71(2), 205–211 (2003).
- Shows no adverse effects of pregnancy on HCV viral load.
- Resti M, Jara P, Hierro L *et al.*: Clinical features and progression of perinatally acquired hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Med. Virol.* 70(3), 373–377 (2003).
- •• Shows that progression to liver disease is uncommon in perinatally acquired disease.
- Jara P, Resti M, Hierro L *et al.*: Chronic hepatitis C virus infection in childhood: clinical patterns and evolution in 224 white children. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 36(3), 275–280 (2003).
- •• Shows that perinatally acquired HCV is usually mild.

- The European Paediatric Hepatitis C Network: Three broad modalities in the natural history of vertically acquired hepatitis C virus infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 41(1), 45–51 (2005).
- •• Shows that perinatally acquired HCV is usually mild during short-term follow up.
- El-Hawary MA, El-Raziky MS, Esmat G et al.: Assessment of hepatic fibrosis in pediatric cases with hepatitis C virus in Egypt. World J. Gastroenterol. 13(20), 2846–2851 (2007).
- Demonstrates minimal liver disease on biopsy in children with perinatallyacquired HCV.
- Bortolotti F, Resti M, Giacchino R *et al.*: Hepatitis C virus infection and related liver disease in children of mothers with antibodies to the virus. *J. Pediatr.* 130(6), 990–993 (1997).
- McDiarmid SV: Current status of liver transplantation in children. *Pediatr. Clin. North Am.* 50(6), 1335–1374 (2003).
- Demonstrates minimal long-term evidence of need for transplantation in children due to perinatally aquired disease.
- Jabeen T, Cannon B, Hogan J *et al.*: Pregnancy and pregnancy outcome in hepatitis C type 1b. *QJM* 93(9), 597–601 (2000).
- Shows no increase in adverse pregnancy outcomes in those with maternal HCV.
- Jaffery T, Tariq N, Ayub R, Yawar A: Frequency of hepatitis C in pregnancy and pregnancy outcome. *J. Coll. Physicians Surg. Pak.* 15(11), 716–719 (2005).
- Shows no adverse outcomes associated with maternal HCV.
- Latt NC, Spencer JD, Beeby PJ *et al.*: Hepatitis C in injecting drug-using women during and after pregnancy. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 15(2), 175–181 (2000).
- Shows no adverse outcomes associated with maternal HCV.
- Pergam SA, Wang CC, Gardella CM, Sandison TG, Phipps WT, Hawes SE: Adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes associated with maternal hepatitis C infection in pregnancy. Presented at: *Infectious Diseases Society of America 45th Annual Meeting.* San Diego, CA, US, 4–7 November 2007.
- Demonstrates increased rates of adverse peripartum outcomes in those with maternal HCV.

- Marti C, Pena JM, Bates I *et al.*: Obstetric and perinatal complications in HIV-infected women. Analysis of a cohort of 167 pregnancies between 1997 and 2003. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 86(4), 409–415 (2007).
- Demonstrates increased rate of gestational diabetes and low birth weight infants in women with HCV-HIV coinfection compared to women with HIV only.
- Shintani Y, Fujie H, Miyoshi H *et al.*: Hepatitis C virus infection and diabetes: direct involvement of the virus in the development of insulin resistance. *Gastroenterology* 126(3), 840–848 (2004).
- Medhat A, el-Sharkawy MM, Shaaban MM, Makhlouf MM, Ghaneima SE: Acute viral hepatitis in pregnancy. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet.* 40(1), 25–31 (1993).
- Leikin EL, Reinus JF, Schmell E, Tejani N: Epidemiologic predictors of hepatitis C virus infection in pregnant women. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 84(4), 529–534 (1994).
- Plunkett BA, Grobman WA: Routine hepatitis C virus screening in pregnancy: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 192(4), 1153–1161 (2005).
- Shows that routine HCV testing in pregnant women is not cost-effective.

#### Affiliations

- Steven A Pergam, MD Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, 1100 Fairview Ave. North, D3-100, PO Box 19024, Seattle, WA 98109, USA spergam@fhcrc.org
- Stephen E Hawes, PhD University of Washington, Department of Epidemiology, WA, USA
- Carolyn M Gardella, MD, MPH University of Washington, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, WA, USA
- Chia C Wang, MD, MS Virginia Mason Hospital, Department of Medicine, WA, USA

