

Evidence of individual research for websearch, "Elephant Laws, Elephant Ethics."

Novice 6.5 pts.	In Progress 7.5 pts.	On Standard 8.5 pts.	Above Standard 10 pts.
Article may not be relevant. There may be no highlighting; no evidence student has read article. There is a citation w/o text, or, article text w/o the citation. Text and citation may not even match.	Appropriateness of article may be difficult to judge (rushed print out, for example). Key part(s) may be missing. Highlighting may be haphazard, insufficient. More than one element omitted from citation. If notes, too sketchy.	Appropriate article, in its entirety. Highlighting indicates comprehension. One element omitted from citation.	Interesting, appropriate article, in its entirety. Highlighting indicates comprehension. Citation has <u>all</u> necessary elements and may be professionally displayed.

NOTE: if there was more than one source submitted, I tried to evaluate your highest-scoring source.

Evidence of individual research for websearch, "Elephant Laws, Elephant Ethics."

Novice 6.5 pts.	In Progress 7.5 pts.	On Standard 8.5 pts.	Above Standard 10 pts.
Article may not be relevant. There may be no highlighting; no evidence student has read article. There is a citation w/o text, or, article text w/o the citation. Text and citation may not even match.	Appropriateness of article may be difficult to judge (rushed print out, for example). Key part(s) may be missing. Highlighting may be haphazard, insufficient. More than one element omitted from citation. If notes, too sketchy.	Appropriate article, in its entirety. Highlighting indicates comprehension. One element omitted from citation.	Interesting, appropriate article, in its entirety. Highlighting indicates comprehension. Citation has <u>all</u> necessary elements and may be professionally displayed.

NOTE: if there was more than one source submitted, I tried to evaluate your highest-scoring source.

Evidence of individual research for websearch, "Elephant Laws, Elephant Ethics."

Novice 6.5 pts.	In Progress 7.5 pts.	On Standard 8.5 pts.	Above Standard 10 pts.
Article may not be relevant. There may be no highlighting; no evidence student has read article. There is a citation w/o text, or, article text w/o the citation. Text and citation may not even match.	Appropriateness of article may be difficult to judge (rushed print out, for example). Key part(s) may be missing. Highlighting may be haphazard, insufficient. More than one element omitted from citation. If notes, too sketchy.	Appropriate article, in its entirety. Highlighting indicates comprehension. One element omitted from citation.	Interesting, appropriate article, in its entirety. Highlighting indicates comprehension. Citation has <u>all</u> necessary elements and may be professionally displayed.

NOTE: if there was more than one source submitted, I tried to evaluate your highest-scoring source.