Comparison of Focus HerpesSelect[®] and Kalon[™] HSV-2 gG2 ELISA serological assays to detect herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) antibodies in a South African population

Sinéad Delany, MD, PhD¹, Ute Jentsch MD², Helen Weiss PhD³, Jocelyn Moyes MD¹, Rhoda Ashley-Morrow PhD⁴, Wendy Stevens MD, FCPath ², Philippe Mayaud MD, MSc⁵

From the ¹ Reproductive Health & HIV Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa; ² Department of Hematology & Molecular Biology, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa; ³ Department of Epidemiology & Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK; ⁴ University of Washington, Seattle, USA; ⁵ Department of Infectious & Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK

Short title: Performance of HerpeSelect® and Kalon HSV-2 ELISA

Partial presentation of this work: 16th Biennial Conference of the International Society for Sexually Transmitted Diseases Research, Amsterdam, 2005.

Corresponding author:

Sinéad Delany-Moretlwe, Reproductive Health & HIV Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand, P O Box 18512, Hillbrow, Johannesburg 2038, South Africa Tel: +27 (0)11 358 5300 Fax: +27 (0)11 358 5400; Email: sdelany@rhru.co.za

"The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in STI and any other BMJPGL products and sub-licences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence http://sti.bmjjournals.com/ifora/licence.pdf)".

Short summary (30 words)

An evaluation of two commercial HSV-2 ELISAs (HerpeSelect® and Kalon[™]), compared to HSV-2 Western Blot, found that co-infection with HIV-1 markedly reduced the specificity of the commercial assays.

Abstract (245 words)

Introduction: Sero-epidemiological studies of herpes simplex virus type-2 (HSV-2)

infection in Africa remain difficult to interpret owing to the high rate of false-positive

results observed when using the new recombinant gG2 HSV-2 ELISA tests. We

compared the performance of two widely used gG2 ELISAs to derive an appropriate

testing algorithm for use in South Africa.

Methods: Sera from 210 women attending family planning clinics in Johannesburg were

tested using HerpeSelect® and KalonTM HSV-2 gG2 assays. Sera from 20 discordant

pairs, 44 concordant positive and 33 concordant negative samples were further tested

by HSV Western Blot (WB). Sensitivity and specificity of each test and of combination

algorithms compared to WB were calculated.

Results: HerpeSelect® had a sensitivity of 98% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 95-100)

and specificity of 61% (95%CI: 48-74). Kalon[™] was less sensitive (89%, 95%CI: 83-94)

but more specific (85%, 95%CI: 61-100). Seroprevalence may have been overestimated

by as much as 14% by HerpeSelect®. Specificity was improved by raising the cut-off

index for determination of a positive result for HerpeSelect® (to ≥3.5), but not for

Kalon[™]. HIV-1 infection reduced the specificity of HerpeSelect® to 30%. Improved

sensitivity and specificity were obtained by a two-test algorithm using HerpeSelect®

(≥3.5) as the first test and Kalon™ to resolve equivocal results (sensitivity 92%, 95%CI:

82-98; specificity 91%, 95%CI: 79-98).

Conclusion: Newer HSV-2 serological tests have low specificity in this South African

population with high HIV-1 prevalence. Two-step testing strategies could provide rational

testing alternatives to WB.

Key-words: herpes simplex virus type-2 (HSV-2); HSV serology; HerpeSelect®;

Kalon[™]; HIV-1; South Africa

INTRODUCTION

Herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) is a primary cause of genital ulcers and is one of the most prevalent sexually transmitted infections worldwide¹. Recent serological studies conducted among populations with no specific high-risk sexual behaviour characteristics in sub-Saharan Africa have shown prevalence rates that exceed those of similar populations in the USA and Europe². Up to 70% of high-risk HIV-1 seronegative and up to 85% of HIV-1 seropositive persons are seropositive for HSV-2 in sub-Saharan Africa^{2,} 3. However, sero-epidemiological studies of HSV-2 in Africa have been hampered by concerns that some of the newer HSV-2 ELISAs are associated with high rates of falsepositive reactions in African sera. In an evaluation study of thirteen HSV-2 type-specific assays, the specificity ranged from 47 to 99%4. In this evaluation, the HerpeSelect® (Focus Technologies) was shown to have a high sensitivity (100%) but a low specificity (71%), while the Kalon™ HSV-2 gG2 ELISA was one of the best performing tests (sensitivity 93% specificity 98%). Specificity was shown to be lower in HIV-1 seropositive individuals. In another study of sera from populations in South Africa, Zimbabwe, Kenya and Uganda using the HerpeSelect®⁵, 100% concordance with Western blot (WB) was observed in sera from Zimbabwe and South Africa, but was lower for samples from Kenya (96%) and Uganda (88%). More recently, a study comparing HerpeSelect® and Kalon™ with WB in 120 HIV-1 seronegative men aged 18-24 years in Kenya showed a lower specificity for HerpeSelect® (40%) compared to Kalon[™] (79%)⁶. Another more recent study using 538 Ugandan samples tested with WB, two ELISA assays and a rapid test (BiokitTM) confirmed the lower specificity of HerpeSelect® (51%) which was improved by raising the cut-off value for positive results to 3.2. In the same study, the specificity of the KalonTM assay was found to be superior to HerpeSelect®; this was enhanced further by raising the cut-off for positive results to 1.5 which increased specificity from 88% to 92%⁷. This study did not find any significant difference in assay performance by HIV-1 serostatus.

While sensitive tests are more useful for diagnosis, higher levels of specificity are required in epidemiological studies where associations with other infections like HIV-1 are explored. Highly specific testing strategies are required to identify individuals who might benefit from HSV treatment interventions currently being evaluated in trials. Large-scale WB testing is costly, and not feasible in many settings in Africa. For these reasons,

a comparative evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of two HSV-2 specific ELISA-based serological assays was undertaken in a South African population where HIV-1 and HSV-2 prevalence are both high⁸.9, 10

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 210 women aged 18-46 years were recruited from a family planning clinic in Johannesburg, South Africa, during the period from August to November 2003. Serum samples collected from consenting women of unknown HSV-2 serostatus were tested for HSV-2 using the HerpeSelect® ELISA (Focus Technologies Inc., Cypress Hill, Ca) and the Kalon[™] HSV-2 gG2 ELISA (Kalon Biologicals Ltd, Aldershot, UK). Optical density (OD) readings for Kalon[™] and the normalized OD readings for HerpeSelect® were recorded. Samples with normalised OD readings <0.9 were recorded as negative, those with values >1.1 were recorded as positive, and those with intermediate values (0.9-1.1) were recorded as equivocal as per manufacturer's instructions.

Using a pre-determined sampling strategy, a random selection of specimens with concordant results and all discordant results (with serum remaining) were shipped to the University of Washington, Seattle, USA, for evaluation using a gold standard WB assay which has been previously described¹¹. Samples with remaining serum were tested for HIV-1 using Abbott AxSYM HIV 1/2 gO (Abbott Diagnostics, Wiesbaden-Delkenheim, Germany) in South Africa only when it became apparent that HIV-1 serostatus might influence HSV-2 ELISA results⁴. Indeterminate results were resolved using BioRad Genetic Systems rLAV HIV-1 ELISA (BioRad Laboratories, Redmond, USA).

The sensitivity and specificity of the different tests were calculated, taking into account the sampling strategy, according to methods described by Hawkins et al¹². Only samples with (normalised) OD readings >1.1 were considered positive. Additional analyses were performed to investigate whether sensitivity and/or specificity of the tests could be improved by changing the cut-off values for positive specimens using receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves and likelihood ratios. We specifically investigated the sensitivity and specificity of a higher cut-off value for HerpeSelect® of \geq 3.5 as has been suggested by other authors^{5, 13}. The effect of age and HIV-1 serostatus on sensitivity and specificity were also explored.

All participants were volunteers who gave written informed consent to participate prior to any study-related procedures. This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand and the research ethics committee of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, and was conducted in accordance with good clinical and laboratory practice guidelines.

RESULTS

Population characteristics

Participants had a mean age of 25.6 years (range 18-46). The overall HSV-2 seroprevalence for this population varied by as much as 14%, depending on the test used. Of the 210 specimens tested, 168 (80%) of women were HSV-2 seropositive, 40 (19%) were negative, and 2 results (1%) were equivocal using HerpeSelect®. With the Kalon™ assay, 138 (66%) of the samples were HSV-2 seropositive, 58 (28%) were seronegative, and 14 (7%) results were equivocal. HIV-1 results were available for 145 (69%) participants. The overall HIV-1 prevalence was 52%.

The results of testing using HerpeSelect® and Kalon™ were compared. Of the 210 samples tested, 178 (85%) had concordant results for both tests: 138 (66%) were concordant positive, 42 (20%) were concordant negative and none were equivocal on both tests. Thirty-two specimens (15%) specimens had discordant results. In the samples with discordant results, the majority (n=30) were positive on HerpeSelect® but either negative (n=16) or equivocal (n=14) on Kalon™. In two cases, samples were equivocal on HerpeSelect® and negative with Kalon™. Overall, HerpeSelect® appeared to detect positive specimens more frequently than Kalon™ (see table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of HerpeSelect[™] and Kalon[™] test results with resolver Western Blot test for HSV-2 (WB) among 210 South African sera.

HerpeSelect test result	Kalon test result	Number and % of samples		Number tested with resolver test (WB)	Number and % positive with resolver test (WB)	
Positive	Positive	138	66%	44	41	93%
Positive	Negative	30 ¹	14%	19	9	47%
Negative	Positive	0	0%	0	0	0%
Negative	Negative	42 ²	20%	35	2	6%
Total		210	100.0%	98	52	53%

¹ includes samples with equivocal Kalon [™] result

Sensitivity and specificity

A sub-set of 19 samples with discordant ELISA results, 44 samples with concordant positive ELISA results, and 35 samples with concordant negative ELISA results were compared with WB (see table 1). Using the data in this table, sensitivity and specificity were calculated using a method which accounts for this sampling strategy¹² (see table 2).According to the manufacturer's instructions, the sensitivity of HerpeSelect® was 98% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 95-100) and the specificity was 61% (95%CI: 48-74). The sensitivity of the Kalon™ assay was 89% (95%CI: 83-94) and its specificity was 85% (95%CI: 61-100).

² includes samples with equivocal HerpeSelect ® result

Table 2. Sensitivity and Specificity of HerpeSelect ® and Kalon[™] compared with Western blot as a gold standard (see example of detailed calculations in Appendix I)

	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	Correctly
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	classified %
Standard testing			
HerpeSelect ® >1.1	98	61	80
	(95-100)	(48-74)	
Kalon [™] >1.1	89	85	80
	(83-94)	(61-100)	
Modified cut-off value			
HerpeSelect ® ≥3.5	94	87	85
	(89-100)	(67-100)	
HerpeSelect ® ≥3.3	96	87	86
	(92-100.)	(67-100)	
Kalon [™] ≥1.0	92	75	83
	(87-97)	(49-100.)	

CI, Confidence Interval

Because both HerpeSelect® and Kalon™ yield continuous results based on OD readings, it was possible to explore the sensitivity and specificity of the test depending on the cut-off value chosen to define a positive test. Initially, we examined the higher cut-off value for HerpeSelect® of ≥ 3.5, which has been proposed by others¹³. While this resulted in a decreased sensitivity (94%), the specificity was substantially improved (87%). Further exploration using ROC curves showed that 3.3 was the cut-off value for optimal sensitivity (96%) and specificity (87%), correctly classifying 86% of samples. For Kalon™, further interpretation of the ROC curve suggested that there was nothing to be gained in terms of sensitivity by changing the cut-off above or below the recommended index of 1.1. We subsequently analysed whether using two ELISAs in combination improved sensitivity and specificity when compared to WB. The best combination was obtained when using HerpeSelect® at increased cut-off (>3.5) followed by testing of "low positive" and equivocal samples with Kalon™, yielding sensitivity of 92% (95%CI: 82-98) and specificity of 91% (95%CI: 79-98%). Using this approach 22 (10%) of the original samples tested by HerpeSelect would have required retesting with Kalon.

Effect of age and HIV-1 serostatus on assay performance

In an exploratory analysis, we investigated the effect of age and HIV-1 serostatus on assay performance (see table 3). The sensitivity of both tests was lower in the age group <25 years compared to those in the age group ≥25 years. Conversely, specificity was higher for both tests in the <25 years age group, compared to the older age group. The sensitivity of HerpeSelect® in HIV-1 seropositive specimens was high (100%). By contrast, its specificity was substantially lower in specimens of participants co-infected with HIV-1 (30%), but was improved by raising the cut-off to ≥3.5 (80%). The performance of KalonTM was broadly comparable (sensitivity 91%, specificity 72%).

Table 3. Sensitivity and Specificity of HerpeSelect[®] and Kalon[™] by age and HIV-1 status.

	Age		HIV-1		
	<25 years	≥25 years	HIV	HIV	
Sensitivity %			seronegative	seropositive	
HerpeSelect® >1.1	95	100	100	100	
HerpeSelect® ≥3.5	92	96	98	97	
Kalon™	82	91	80	91	
Specificity %					
HerpeSelect® >1.1	68	55	100	30	
HerpeSelect® ≥3.5	87	93	100	80	
Kalon™	87	86	100	72	

Table 4 summarises the distribution of index values for samples that gave false positive results by either test when compared with WB. When comparing these values by age group, more false positive samples had index values in the low range (1.1-2.0) in the younger age group compared to the older age group, when tested by HerpeSelect® (4 vs. 2). This was not true for Kalon™. In the false positive samples for which we had HIV-1 positive results, all four false positive samples (3 HerpeSelect®, 1 Kalon™) were HIV-1 positive.

Table 4. Index values giving false positive results for each of the tests.

	No. of samples in each category of index values			
	1.1-2.0	2.01-3.0	>3.0	Total
HerpeSelect	6	2	4	12
Kalon	1	2	0	3

DISCUSSION

The sensitivity of HerpeSelect® and Kalon™ observed in this study is high and similar to previous observations from other African settings where both HSV and HIV-1 prevalence are high^{4, 13, 14}, and compares favourably with the results from industrialised countries¹⁵.

We found a wide variation in specificity between the two tests, with HerpeSelect® demonstrating a high rate of false positive results, using the cut-off value recommended by the manufacturer. This resulted in an overestimation of seroprevalence in this population by as much as 14%. This is in contrast to observations by Hogrefe et al who found a specificity of 100% in sera from South Africa and Zimbabwe⁵, although this was similar to observations from other studies in Uganda, Kenya, Zambia, Benin and Nigeria, where specificity was as low as 40%-70%^{4, 6, 7, 13}.

There are several possible explanations for the higher sensitivity but lower specificity of HerpeSelect® compared with Kalon. One explanation is that HerpeSelect® is more sensitive than Kalon™, and even WB, in detecting early seroconversion. A study comparing the median time to seroconversion of the three assays found that this was significantly longer for Kalon™ (120 days) and WB (87 days, p=0.004), than for HerpeSelect® (21 days, p<0.001)¹6. A recent study among African patients with genital ulcer disease also found that rates of HSV-2 seroconversion in cases of documented first episodes of genital HSV-2 were significantly higher by HerpeSelect® compared to Kalon™ (77% vs. 23% at Day 14)¹7. The high HSV-2 prevalence in this population suggests that seroconversion is not a rare event. In addition, 50% of the HerpeSelect® false positive tests had readings in the low positive range, which may be suggestive of early infection¹³. However, for this to be true, we would have expected to observe higher false positive rate in the younger age group, compared to the older age group. This was

not the case in our study. In fact, we observed a lower sensitivity (of both assays) in the younger population compared to the older population.

An alternative explanation for the differences in specificity could be cross reactivity with other infections, including HSV-1 or HIV-1. While the glycoprotein-G2 tests are generally quite specific for HSV-2, one study found that, in patients with cultured-documented recurrent genital HSV-1 infection, the specificity of Kalon™ was 100%, whilst the specificity of HerpeSelect® was slightly lower (93%)¹⁶. Golden et al showed the impact of HSV-1 on lowering the specificity of HerpeSelect ® in male STD clients¹⁸. We were unable to test whether there was cross-reactivity with HSV-1 because of the high prevalence of HSV-1 (98% of samples tested by WB) in this population. Perhaps a more plausible explanation relates to the presence of circulating non-specific antibodies, which could either could be the result of hyperglobulinaemia secondary to immune activation caused by HIV-1, or even could be the antibodies to HIV-1 themselves, which might cross react with the G2-specific portion of the test 19, 20. Specificity was also shown to be lower in HIV-1 seropositive samples in the analysis of samples from the Four African City Study⁴, for both tests, but substantially lower for HerpeSelect®. This is in contrast to Laeyendecker et al who did not observe any effect of HIV-1 serostatus on test performance, when comparing median index values for HerpeSelect® in HIV-1 infected and uninfected individuals¹⁴. A further study by the same group among Ugandan subjects did not reveal differences in assay performance by HIV-1 serostatus⁷. However, HIV-1 prevalence was lower in this Ugandan population (33%) than in our South African population (52%). Higher rates of co-infection with HIV-1 in the older age groups may also explain the higher specificity observed in the younger age group in our study. While not conclusive, we also noted in our study that all HSV-2 false positive tests with available HIV-1 results were indeed HIV-1 positive.

A third possible explanation is geographical variation in HSV-2 strains. Although data from Europe suggest that the gG2 epitope is fairly well conserved²¹, strains from African populations have not been sequenced. These strains may be more diverse and have different affinities for both the ELISA assays, as well as the WB. Certainly, atypical WB profiles were observed in this study (data not shown), and have been reported by other investigators ^{5, 13}, ⁶

Raising the cut-off value for defining positive results for HerpeSelect® appeared to improve the specificity without compromising sensitivity too much and compares well with the Kalon™ assay. This approach was eventually used as the strategy for identifying participants with HSV-2 for inclusion in two large multi-centre HSV-2 suppressive treatment trials^{22, 23}. We showed that the same approach did not yield similar improvements in performance for the Kalon™ assay. This may be because this test is already fairly specific for HSV-2 and further improvements in specificity result in losses in sensitivity.

Finally we showed that using a combination of two tests resulted in high levels of sensitivity and specificity being obtained when compared with WB. Using HerpeSelect® with a higher cut-off and testing all equivocal results with Kalon™ as the resolver test resulted in a testing algorithm which was suitably sensitive and specific, and only required re-testing of 10% of the original sample. Economic and operational research will be warranted to determine the role of these strategies in other settings. The demand for improved HSV-2 testing strategies is likely to grow with increasing awareness of the high prevalence of HSV-2 in the developing world, and its association with HIV-1 transmission.

In conclusion, high rates of false positivity continue to challenge the performance of the HerpeSelect® assay in African sera. In particular, the poor specificity of the test in HIV-1 seropositive populations warrants its cautionary use and a larger scale investigation. However, adjusting the cut-off and/or using a two-test testing algorithm resulted in significant improvements when compared with using either test alone. The feasibility and cost-benefit of such approaches should be further evaluated.

Word count = 2,568

Key messages

- HSV-2 seroprevalence ranged from 66% with the Kalon[™] ELISA to 80% with the HerpeSelect ® ELISA.
- HerpeSelect® had a high sensitivity but lower specificity while Kalon[™] was less sensitive but more specific.
- HIV-1 infection appeared to reduce the specificity of HerpeSelect®.

 Specificity was improved by raising the cut-off index for determination of a positive result for HerpeSelect® (to ≥3.5), but not for Kalon[™].

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SD and PM designed the study and obtained funding. HW provided statistical advice. Fieldwork was conducted by JM. HSV ELISA testing was conducted by UJ with WS. RAM performed all HSV Western Blot testing,. SD wrote the first draft of the paper which was reviewed and approved by all authors

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Tim Clayton for additional useful discussion and assistance. In addition, we thank the participants, the study staff and the staff at the City of Johannesburg clinic who facilitated this study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Dr Morrow has received research grants or contracts, honoraria or consulting fees during the last three years from Biokit, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Biovail, Focus Diagnostics, and GlaxoSmithKline. The remaining authors report no competing interests.

FUNDING

The study was supported by grants from the UK's Department for International Development (DFID) office in Pretoria, South Africa, and the UK's DFID-funded Knowledge Programme on HIV/AIDS & STI of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. WB testing was supported by NIH Grant Al30731.

REFERENCES

- 1. Smith JS, Robinson NJ. Age-specific prevalence of infection with herpes simplex virus types 2 and 1: a global review. *J Infect Dis.* Oct 15 2002;186 Suppl 1:S3-28.
- 2. Weiss H. Epidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 2 infection in the developing world. *Herpes*. Apr 2004;11 Suppl 1:24A-35A.
- 3. Mbopi-Keou FX, Gresenguet G, Mayaud P, et al. Interactions between herpes simplex virus type 2 and human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection in African women: opportunities for intervention. *J Infect Dis.* Oct 2000;182(4):1090-1096.
- van Dyck E, Buve A, Weiss HA, et al. Performance of commercially available enzyme immunoassays for detection of antibodies against herpes simplex virus type 2 in African populations. *J Clin Microbiol*. Jul 2004;42(7):2961-2965.
- 5. Hogrefe W, Su X, Song J, Ashley R, Kong L. Detection of herpes simplex virus type 2-specific immunoglobulin G antibodies in African sera by using recombinant gG2, Western blotting, and gG2 inhibition. *J Clin Microbiol*. Oct 2002;40(10):3635-3640.
- 6. Smith JS, Bailey RC, Westreich DJ, et al. Herpes Simplex Virus-Type 2 Antibody Detection Performance in Kisumu, Kenya, using the HerpeSelect ELISA, Kalon ELISA, Western Blot and Inhibition Testing. Sex Transm Infect. Dec 16 2008.
- 7. Gamiel JL, Tobian AA, Laeyendecker OB, et al. Improved performance of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays and the effect of human immunodeficiency virus coinfection on the serologic detection of herpes simplex virus type 2 in Rakai, Uganda. Clin Vaccine Immunol. May 2008;15(5):888-890.
- **8.** Auvert B, Ballard R, Campbell C, et al. HIV infection among youth in a South African mining town is associated with herpes simplex virus-2 seropositivity and sexual behaviour. *AIDS*. May 4 2001;15(7):885-898.
- Chen CY, Ballard RC, Beck-Sague CM, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus infection and genital ulcer disease in South Africa: the herpetic connection. Sex Transm Dis. Jan 2000;27(1):21-29.
- 10. Ramjee G, Williams B, Gouws E, Van Dyck E, De Deken B, Karim SA. The impact of incident and prevalent herpes simplex virus-2 infection on the incidence of HIV-1 infection among commercial sex workers in South Africa. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Jul 1 2005;39(3):333-339.

- 11. Ashley RL, Militoni J, Lee F, Nahmias A, Corey L. Comparison of Western blot (immunoblot) and glycoprotein G-specific immunodot enzyme assay for detecting antibodies to herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2 in human sera. *J Clin Microbiol*. Apr 1988;26(4):662-667.
- **12.** Hawkins DM, Garrett JA, Stephenson B. Some issues in resolution of diagnostic tests using an imperfect gold standard. *Stat Med.* Jul 15 2001;20(13):1987-2001.
- Ashley-Morrow R, Nollkamper J, Robinson NJ, Bishop N, Smith J. Performance of focus ELISA tests for herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) and HSV-2 antibodies among women in ten diverse geographical locations. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* Jun 2004;10(6):530-536.
- 14. Laeyendecker O, Henson C, Gray RH, et al. Performance of a commercial, type-specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of herpes simplex virus type 2-specific antibodies in Ugandans. *J Clin Microbiol*. Apr 2004;42(4):1794-1796.
- **15.** Ashley RL. Sorting out the new HSV type specific antibody tests. Sex Transm Infect. Aug 2001;77(4):232-237.
- Morrow RA, Friedrich D, Krantz E. Performance of the focus and Kalon enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for antibodies to herpes simplex virus type 2 glycoprotein G in culture-documented cases of genital herpes. *J Clin Microbiol*. Nov 2003;41(11):5212-5214.
- 17. LeGoff J, Mayaud P, Gresenguet G, et al. Performance of HerpeSelect and Kalon assays in detection of antibodies to herpes simplex virus type 2. *J Clin Microbiol*. Jun 2008;46(6):1914-1918.
- 18. Golden MR, Ashley-Morrow R, Swenson P, Hogrefe WR, Handsfield HH, Wald A. Herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) Western blot confirmatory testing among men testing positive for HSV-2 using the focus enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in a sexually transmitted disease clinic. Sex Transm Dis. Dec 2005;32(12):771-777.
- **19.** Chun TW, Finzi D, Margolick J, Chadwick K, Schwartz D, Siliciano RF. In vivo fate of HIV-1-infected T cells: quantitative analysis of the transition to stable latency. *Nat Med.* Dec 1995;1(12):1284-1290.
- **20.** De Milito A. B lymphocyte dysfunctions in HIV infection. *Curr HIV Res.* Jan 2004;2(1):11-21.

- **21.** Liljeqvist JA, Svennerholm B, Bergstrom T. Typing of clinical herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 isolates with monoclonal antibodies. *J Clin Microbiol.* Aug 1999;37(8):2717-2718.
- 22. Celum C, Wald A, Hughes J, et al. Effect of aciclovir on HIV-1 acquisition in herpes simplex virus 2 seropositive women and men who have sex with men: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* Jun 21 2008;371(9630):2109-2119.
- 23. Lingappa JR, Lambdin B, Bukusi EA, et al. Regional differences in prevalence of HIV-1 discordance in Africa and enrollment of HIV-1 discordant couples into an HIV-1 prevention trial. *PLoS ONE*. 2008;3(1):e1411.

Appendix I: Example of calculation of sensitivity & specificity for HerpeSelect® based on Hawkins et al.

Index test	Index test Resolver test (WB)						
(Focus)	Positive			Negative			Total
	Kalon						
	Positive	Negative	Total	Positive	Negative	Total	
Positive	0.612	0.068 ¹	0.680	0.045	0.075	0.120	0.800
Negative	0.000	0.011	0.011	0.000	0.189	0.189	0.200
Total	0.612	0.079	0.691	0.045	0.264	0.309	1.000

These steps were followed to calculate sensitivity and specificity for Focus ®:

<u>Step 1</u>. Using data from table 1 fill each of the cells. For example¹, the value for this cell is calculated as 0.143 (proportion of samples with this result i.e. 30/210 HerpeSelect ® positive, Kalon TM negative) X 0.474 (proportion of these samples correctly resolved on WB. i.e. 9/19) = 0.680

<u>Step 2</u>. HerpeSelect ® sensitivity is total positive out of total i.e. 0.680/0.691 = 98.3%. Similarly, specificity is total negative out of total samples i.e.0.189/0.309=61.1%